

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):** | | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|---|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall | |
| | | | | PJs in State: | 28 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 100.00 % | 98.96 % | 1 | 97.21 % | 100 | 100 | | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 95.58 % | 88.84 % | 8 | 90.53 % | 75 | 74 | | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0 | 2.6 | 27 | 4.97 | 0 | 0 | | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 76.47 % | 1 | 85.01 % | 100 | 100 | | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 89.31 % | 74.39 % | 7 | 73.71 % | 79 | 78 | | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 98.57 % | 80.80 % | 2 | 81.48 % | 95 | 92 | | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 95.71 % | 49.30 % | 1 | 45.54 % | 99 | 0 | | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.57 % | 96.25 % | 16 | 96.14 % | 44 | 43 | | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | | In State: | 2 / 28 | Nationally: | 91 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$43,163 | \$55,783 | | \$28,248 | 70 | Units | 8.20 | % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,157 | \$16,084 | | \$15,487 | 218 | Units | 25.60 | % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$11,950 | \$12,104 | | \$0 | 132 | Units | 15.50 | % |
| TBRA Unit | \$9,794 | \$6,077 | | \$3,211 | 432 | Units | 50.70 | % |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Rockland County NY

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$43,163 | \$110,033 | \$29,579 |
| State:* | \$122,111 | \$99,561 | \$17,033 |
| National:** | \$101,183 | \$78,322 | \$24,013 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.7 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.12

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 62.3 | 34.4 | 65.2 | 51.9 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 30.4 | 29.8 | 31.8 | 29.6 |
| Black/African American: | 15.9 | 27.5 | 20.5 | 25.9 | Elderly: | 56.5 | 5.0 | 36.4 | 3.7 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 8.7 | 25.2 | 8.3 | 59.3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 4.3 | 35.8 | 22.0 | 3.7 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 18.8 | 35.8 | 12.1 | 22.2 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 82.6 | 15.1 | 31.8 | 14.8 | Section 8: | 2.9 | 0.5 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 14.5 | 27.1 | 42.4 | 44.4 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 2.9 | 18.3 | 9.1 | 14.8 | Other: | 5.8 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 16.1 | 2.3 | 18.5 | No Assistance: | 91.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 14.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.7 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 8 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Rockland County State: NY Group Rank: 91
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 2 / 28 PJs Overall Rank: 0
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 79.77% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 57.73% | 89.31 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 98.57 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.23% | 98.57 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.200 | 0.66 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

